arXiv:1411.3170v1 [math.DG] 12 Nov 2014

Isotropy summands and Einstein Equation of Invariant Metrics on Classical Flag Manifolds

Luciana Aparecida Alves *and Neiton Pereira da Silva †

November 13, 2014

Abstract

It is well known that the Einstein equation on a Riemannian flag manifold $(G/K, g)$ reduces to a algebraic system, if g is a G-invariant metric. In this paper we described this system for all flag manifolds of a classical Lie group. We also determined the number of isotropy summands for all of these spaces and proved certain properties of the set of t-roots for flag manifolds of type B_n, C_n and D_n.

Introduction

A Riemannian manifold (M, g) is called Einstein manifold if its Ricci tensor $Ric(g)$ satisfies the Einstein equation $Ric(g) = cg.$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$. The study of Einstein manifold is connected with several areas of mathematics and has important applications on physics, see [5].

Let G be a connected compact semi-simple Lie group and G/K a partial flag manifold, where K is the centralize of a torus in G. It is well known that the Einstein equation of a G-invariant (or invariant) metric g on a flag manifold G/K reduces to a algebraic system. It is also known that G/K admits a invariant Kähler Einstein metric associated to the canonical complex structure. The problem of determining invariant Einstein metrics non Kähler has been studied by several author, see [2], [7] and [9].

[13] conjectured that if G/H is a compact homogeneous space whose isotropy representation consists of pairwise inequivalent irreducible summands, then the algebraic Einstein equations have only finitely many real solutions. These conjecture applies to flag manifolds and it is opened yet.

The number of unknowns and equations in the algebraic Einstein system is so great as the number of isotropy summands of G/K. Thus [10], [8] and [3] determined all invariant Einstein manifold for certain families of flag manifold with few isotropy summands. Another important problem is to determine whether two non-Kähler homogeneous Einstein metrics on a certain flag manifold are isometric or not. Recently [4] treated this problem on $Sp(n)/U(p) \times U(n-p)$, $1 \leq p \leq n-1$, by computational means, obtaining the Gröbner basis associated to certain algebraic equations.

In this paper we described explicitly the Einstein equation for a invariant metric g on every flag manifold of a classical Lie group, see Propositions [2.4, 2.5,2.8, 2.9 and 2.12], which extend partially the papers [2] and [10]. We also determine the number of isotropy summands of all of these flag manifold, which provides an overview of the size of the algebraic Einstein system for such spaces, see Theorem 3.1. It is known that the amount of isotropy summands can be determined computing a certain set of linear functional, called t-roots. We showed that the set of t-roots of flag manifolds of Lie groups of type C_n and D_n has a special property:

Theorem 0.1. *The set $\Pi_{\mathfrak{t}}$ of t-roots corresponding to the flag manifolds $Sp(n)/U(n_1) \times \cdots \times U(n_s)$ is a system of roots of type C_s.*

*Federal University of Uberlândia, luciana.postigo@gmail.com
†Federal University of Uberlândia, neitonps@gmail.com

Theorem 0.2. *The set of t-roots $\Pi_{\mathfrak{t}}$ corresponding to the flag manifolds $SO(2n)/U(n_1)\times\cdots\times U(n_s)$ is a root system of type C_s.*

We obtained are results proceeding in a similar way of [2] and making several laborious computations by means of careful inspections.

1 Preliminaries

In this section we set up our notation and present the standard theory of partial flag manifolds associated with semisimple Lie algebras (see, for example, [11], [6]).

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite-dimensional semisimple complex Lie algebra and take a Lie group G with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a Cartan subalgebra. We denote by Π the system of roots of $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$. A root $\alpha\in\Pi$ is a linear functional on $\mathfrak{g}$. It uniquely determines an element $H_\alpha\in\mathfrak{h}$ by the Riesz representation $\alpha(X)=B(X,H)$, $X\in\mathfrak{g}$, with respect to the Cartan-Killing form $B(\cdot,\cdot)$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ has the following decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h}\oplus\sum_{\alpha\in\Pi}\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ is the one-dimensional root space corresponding to α.

We fix a system Σ of simple roots of Π and denote by Π^+ and Π^- the corresponding set of positive and negative roots, respectively. Let $\Theta\subset\Sigma$ be a subset, define

$$\Pi_\Theta:=\langle\Theta\rangle\cap\Pi\qquad\qquad\Pi_\Theta^\pm:=\langle\Theta\rangle\cap\Pi^\pm.$$

We denote by $\Pi_M:=\Pi\setminus\Pi_\Theta$ the complementary set of roots. Note that

$$\mathfrak{p}_\Theta:=\mathfrak{h}\oplus\sum_{\alpha\in\Pi^+}\mathfrak{g}_\alpha\oplus\sum_{\alpha\in\Pi_\Theta^-}\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$$

is a parabolic subalgebra, since it contains the Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}^+=\mathfrak{h}\oplus\sum\limits_{\alpha\in\Pi^+}\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$.

The partial flag manifold determined by the choice $\Theta\subset\Pi$ is the homogeneous space $\mathbb{F}_\Theta=G/P_\Theta$, where P_Θ is the normalizer of $\mathfrak{p}_\Theta$ in G. In the special case $\Theta=\emptyset$, we obtain the *full* (or maximal) flag manifold $\mathbb{F}=G/B$ associated with Π, where B is the normalize of the Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}^+=\mathfrak{h}\oplus\sum\limits_{\alpha\in\Pi^+}\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ in G. For further use, for each $\alpha\in\Pi_M$, define the following sets

$$\Pi_\Theta(\alpha):=\{\phi\in\Pi_\Theta:(\alpha+\phi)\in\Pi\}\quad\text{ and }\quad\Pi_M(\alpha):=\{\beta\in\Pi_M:(\alpha+\beta)\in\Pi_M\}.\tag{1}$$

Now we will discuss the construction of any flag manifold as the quotient U/K_Θ of a semisimple compact Lie group $U\subset G$ modulo the centralizer K_Θ of a torus in U. We fix once and for all a Weyl base of $\mathfrak{g}$ which amounts to giving $X_\alpha\in\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, $H_\alpha\in\mathfrak{h}$ with $\alpha\in\Pi$, with the standard properties:

$$B(X_\alpha,X_\beta)=\begin{cases}1, & \alpha+\beta=0,\\ 0, & \text{otherwise;}\end{cases}\qquad[X_\alpha,X_\beta]=\begin{cases}H_\alpha\in\mathfrak{h}, & \alpha+\beta=0,\\ N_{\alpha,\beta}X_{\alpha+\beta}, & \alpha+\beta\in\Pi,\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}\tag{2}$$

The real numbers $N_{\alpha,\beta}$ are non-zero if and only if $\alpha+\beta\in\Pi$. Besides that, it satisfies

$$\begin{cases}N_{\alpha,\beta}=-N_{-\alpha,-\beta}=-N_{\beta,\alpha},\\ N_{\alpha,\beta}=N_{\beta,\gamma}=N_{\gamma,\alpha},\end{cases}\qquad\text{se }\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0.$$

We consider the following two-dimensional real spaces $\mathfrak{u}_\alpha=\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{A_\alpha,S_\alpha\}$ where $A_\alpha=X_\alpha-X_{-\alpha}$ and $S_\alpha=i(X_\alpha+X_{-\alpha})$, with $\alpha\in\Pi^+$. Then the real Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}=i\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}\oplus\sum\mathfrak{u}_\alpha$, with $\alpha\in\Pi^+$, is a compact real form of $\mathfrak{g}$, where $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$ denotes the subspace of $\mathfrak{h}$ spaned by $\{H_\alpha,\alpha\in\Pi\}$.

Let $U = \exp \mathfrak{u}$ be the compact real form of G corresponding to $\mathfrak{u}$. By the restriction of the action of G on $\mathbb{F}_\Theta$, we can see that U acts transitively on $\mathbb{F}_\Theta$ then $\mathbb{F}_\Theta = U/K_\Theta$, where $K_\Theta = P_\Theta \cap U$. The Lie algebra of K_Θ is the set of fixed points of the conjugation $\tau\colon X_\alpha \mapsto -X_{-\alpha}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ restricted to $\mathfrak{p}_\Theta$

$$\mathfrak{k}_\Theta = \mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p}_\Theta = i\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi_\Theta^+} \mathfrak{u}_\alpha.$$

The tangent space of $\mathbb{F}_\Theta = U/K_\Theta$ at the origin $o = eK_\Theta$ can be identified with the orthogonal complement (with respect to the Killing form) of $\mathfrak{k}_\Theta$ in $\mathfrak{u}$

$$T_o\mathbb{F}_\Theta = \mathfrak{m} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi_M^+} \mathfrak{u}_\alpha$$

where $\Pi_M^+ = \Pi_M \cap \Pi^+$. Thus we have $\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{k}_\Theta \oplus \mathfrak{m}$.

On the other hand, there exists a nice way to decompose the tangent space $\mathfrak{m}$. It is a standard factor that $\mathbb{F}_\Theta$ is a reductive homogeneous space, this means that the adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{k}_\Theta$ and K_Θ leaves $\mathfrak{m}$ invariant, i.e. $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{k}_\Theta)\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{m}$. Thus we can decompose $\mathfrak{m}$ into a sum of irreducible $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{k}_\Theta)$ submodules $\mathfrak{m}_i$ of the module $\mathfrak{m}$

$$\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{m}_s.$$

Each irreducible $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{k}_\Theta)$ submodules can be described by means of certain linear functional called t-roots (see [1] or [12]).

By complexifying the Lie algebra of K_Θ we obtain

$$\mathfrak{k}_\Theta^\mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi_\Theta} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha.$$

The adjoint representation of $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{k}_\Theta^\mathbb{C})$ of $\mathfrak{k}_\Theta^\mathbb{C}$ leaves the complex tangent space $\mathfrak{m}^\mathbb{C}$ invariant. Let

$$\mathfrak{t} := Z(\mathfrak{k}_\Theta^\mathbb{C}) \cap i\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}$$

be the intersection of the center of the parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{k}_\Theta^\mathbb{C}$ with $i\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}$. According to [2], we can write

$$\mathfrak{t} = \{H \in i\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R} : \alpha(H) = 0, \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Pi_\Theta\}.$$

Let $i\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}^*$ and $\mathfrak{t}^*$ be the dual vector space of $i\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathfrak{t}$, respectively, and consider the map $k\colon i\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}^* \longrightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$ given by $k(\alpha) = \alpha|_\mathfrak{t}$. The linear functionals of $\Pi_\mathfrak{t} := k(\Pi_M)$ are called *t-roots*. We denote by $\Pi_\mathfrak{t}^+ = k(\Pi_M^+)$ the set of positive t-roots. There exists a 1-1 correspondence between positive t-roots and irreducible submodules of the adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{k}_\Theta$. This correspondence is given by

$$\xi \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{m}_\xi = \sum_{k(\alpha)=\xi} \mathfrak{u}_\alpha$$

with $\xi \in \Pi_\mathfrak{t}^+$ (see [1]). Hence the tangent space can be decomposed as following

$$\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_{\xi_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{m}_{\xi_s}$$

where $\Pi_\mathfrak{t}^+ = \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_s\}$.

It is well known that a Riemannian invariant metric on $\mathbb{F}_\Theta$ is completely determined by a real inner product $g(\cdot,\cdot)$ on $\mathfrak{m} = T_o\mathbb{F}_\Theta$ which is invariant by the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{k}_\Theta$. Besides that, any real inner product $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{k}_\Theta)$-invariant on $\mathfrak{m}$ has the form

$$g(\cdot,\cdot) = -\lambda_1 B(\cdot,\cdot)|_{\mathfrak{m}_1 \times \mathfrak{m}_1} - \cdots - \lambda_s B(\cdot,\cdot)|_{\mathfrak{m}_s \times \mathfrak{m}_s} \tag{3}$$

where $\mathfrak{m}_i = \mathfrak{m}_{\xi_i}$ and $\lambda_i = \lambda_{\xi_i} > 0$ with $\xi_i \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{t}}^+$, for $i = 1, \ldots, s$. So any invariant Riemannian metric on $\mathbb{F}_\Theta$ is determined by $|\Pi_{\mathfrak{t}}^+|$ positive parameters. We will call an inner product defined by (3) as an invariant metric on $\mathbb{F}_\Theta$.

In a similar way, the Ricci tensor $Ric_g(\cdot\cdot)$ of a invariant metric on $\mathbb{F}_\Theta$ depends on $|\Pi_{\mathfrak{t}}^+|$ parameters. Actually, it has the form

$$Ric_g(\cdot,\cdot) = -r_1\lambda_1 B(\cdot,\cdot)|_{\mathfrak{m}_1\times\mathfrak{m}_1} - \cdots - r_s\lambda_s B(\cdot,\cdot)|_{\mathfrak{m}_s\times\mathfrak{m}_s}.$$

Then an invariant metric g on $\mathbb{F}_\Theta$ is Einstein iff $r_1 = \cdots = r_s$. The next result shows a way to compute the components of the Ricci tensor by means of certain vectors of Weyl base.

Proposition 1.1. *([2]) The Ricci tensor for an invariant metric g on $\mathbb{F}_\Theta$ is given by*

$$Ric(X_\alpha, X_\beta) = 0, \quad \alpha,\beta \in \Pi_M, \alpha+\beta \notin \Pi_M,$$

$$Ric(X_\alpha, X_{-\alpha}) = B(\alpha,\alpha) + \sum_{\substack{\phi\in\Pi_\Theta \\ \alpha+\phi\in\Pi}} N_{\alpha,\phi}^2 + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{\substack{\beta\in\Pi_M \\ \alpha+\beta\in\Pi_M}} \frac{N_{\alpha,\beta}^2}{\lambda_{\alpha+\beta}\lambda_\beta}\left(\lambda_\alpha^2 - (\lambda_{\alpha+\beta}-\lambda_\beta)^2\right). \tag{4}$$

Since $Ric(\kappa g) = Ric(g)$ $(\kappa \in \mathbb{R})$ we can normalize the Einstein equation $Ric(g) = c\cdot g$ choosing an appropriate value for c or for some λ_α.

Remark 1.2. *Although (4) is not in terms of t-roots, it is known that if $\alpha,\beta \in \Pi_M$ are two different roots that determine the same t-root, i.e. $k(\alpha) = k(\beta)$, then $\lambda_\alpha = \lambda_\beta$ and $Ric(X_\alpha, X_{-\alpha}) = Ric(X_\beta, X_{-\beta})$.*

2 Einstein Equation

2.1 Case A_n

Flag manifolds of type A_n are homogeneous spaces of the special unitary group, it has the form

$$\mathbb{F}_A(n_1,\ldots,n_s) = SU(n)/S(U(n_1)\times\cdots\times U(n_s)), \quad n = \sum_{i=1}^s n_i.$$

When each $n_i = 1$ we obtain the full flag manifold $\mathbb{F}_A(n) = SU(n)/S(U(1)\times\cdots\times U(1))$.

Proposition 2.1. *([2]) The Einstein equations on $\mathbb{F}_A(n_1,\ldots,n_s)$ reduce to the following algebraic system*

$$n_i + n_j + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{l\neq i,j}\frac{n_l}{\lambda_{il}\lambda_{jl}}(\lambda_{ij}^2 - (\lambda_{il}-\lambda_{jl})^2) = \lambda_{ij} \qquad 1 \le i < j \le s \tag{5}$$

where the number of equations and unknowns λ_{ij} is $s(s-1)/2$.

2.2 Case B_n

There exist two types of flag manifolds of Lie groups B_n, which we denote by

$$\mathbb{F}_B(n_1,\ldots,n_s) = SO(2n+1)/U(n_1)\times\cdots\times U(n_s), \quad n \ge 2 \quad \text{and} \quad s \le n;$$

$$\mathbb{F}_B[n_1,\ldots,n_{s+1}] = SO(2n+1)/U(n_1)\times\cdots\times U(n_s)\times SO(2n_{s+1}+1), \quad n_{s+1} \ge 2;$$

where $n = \sum_{i=1}^{s} n_i$.

First, we consider the case $\mathbb{F}_B(n_1, \ldots, n_s)$. The algebra $\mathfrak{so}(2n+1, \mathbb{R})$ is a compact real form of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(2n+1, \mathbb{C})$. The matrices of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(2n+1, \mathbb{C})$ have the following form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta & \gamma \\ -\gamma^t & a & b \\ -\beta^t & c & -a^t \end{pmatrix}$$

where β and γ are matrices $1 \times n$ and, b and c are skew symmetric matrices $n \times n$. A Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{h} = \{\mathrm{diag}(0, \varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n, -\varepsilon_1, \ldots, -\varepsilon_n) ; \varepsilon_i \in \mathbb{C}\}. \tag{6}$$

The root system of the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is given by

$$\Pi = \{\pm\varepsilon_i; 1 \leq i \leq n\} \cup \{\pm(\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j) ; 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} \cup \{\pm(\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j) ; 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n\} \tag{7}$$

where ε_i denotes the functional $\mathrm{diag}(0, \varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n, -\varepsilon_1, \ldots, -\varepsilon_n) \mapsto \varepsilon_i$ and $\varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j$ denotes the functional $\mathrm{diag}(0, \varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n, -\varepsilon_1, \ldots, -\varepsilon_n) \mapsto \varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j$. A choice of positive roots is

$$\Pi^+ = \{\varepsilon_i; 1 \leq i \leq n\} \cup \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j; 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} \cup \{\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j; 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n\}. \tag{8}$$

The root system of the pair $\left(\mathfrak{k}_\Theta^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{sl}(n_1, \mathbb{C}) \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{sl}(n_s, \mathbb{C}), \mathfrak{h}\right)$ is given by

$$\Pi_\Theta = \{\pm\left(\varepsilon_a^i - \varepsilon_b^i\right) : 1 \leq a < b \leq n_i\},$$

where $\varepsilon_a^i = \varepsilon_{n_1 + \cdots + n_{i-1} + a}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_M^+ = \{\varepsilon_a^i; 1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq a \leq n_i\} \cup \{\varepsilon_a^i - \varepsilon_b^j; 1 \leq i < j \leq s\} \\ \cup \{\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_b^j; 1 \leq i \neq j \leq s\} \cup \{\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_b^i; 1 \leq a \neq b \leq n_i\}. \end{aligned}$$

The subalgebra $\mathfrak{t}$ has the form

$$\mathfrak{t} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & \\ & \Lambda & \\ & & -\Lambda \end{pmatrix} \in i\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} \right\}, \tag{9}$$

where $\Lambda = \mathrm{diag}\left(\varepsilon_{n_1}^1, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n_1}^1, \varepsilon_{n_2}^2, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n_2}^2, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n_s}^s, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n_s}^s\right)$ and $\varepsilon_{n_i}^i$ appears exactly n_i times, $i = 1, \ldots, s$.

We will denote the t-root $k(\varepsilon_a^i)$ by δ_i; $k(\varepsilon_a^i \pm \varepsilon_b^j)$ by $\delta_i \pm \delta_j$; and, $k(\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_b^i)$ by $2\delta_i$. Thus

$$\Pi_{\mathfrak{t}}^+ = k\left(\Pi_M^+\right) = \{\delta_i, 2\delta_i : 1 \leq i \leq s\} \cup \{\delta_i \pm \delta_j; 1 \leq i < j \leq s\}. \tag{10}$$

Note that in this case $\Pi_{\mathfrak{t}}$ is not a root system, because $\pm\delta_i$ are not the only multiples of δ_i in $\Pi_{\mathfrak{t}}$.

For the case $\mathbb{F}_B[n_1, \ldots, n_{s+1}]$, we take the same Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}(2n+1, \mathbb{C})$ in (6). The root system of the pair $\left(\mathfrak{k}_\Theta^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{h}\right)$, with $\mathfrak{k}_\Theta^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{sl}(n_1, \mathbb{C}) \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{sl}(n_s, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{so}(2n_{s+1}+1, \mathbb{C})$, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_\Theta = &\{\pm\left(\varepsilon_a^i - \varepsilon_b^i\right) ; 1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq a < b \leq n_i\} \cup \{\pm\varepsilon_a^{s+1}; 1 \leq a \leq n_{s+1}\} \\ &\cup \{\pm\left(\varepsilon_a^{s+1} - \varepsilon_b^{s+1}\right) ; 1 \leq a < b \leq n_{s+1}\} \cup \{\pm\left(\varepsilon_a^{s+1} + \varepsilon_b^{s+1}\right) ; 1 \leq a < b \leq n_{s+1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_M = &\{\pm\varepsilon_a^i; 1 \leq i \leq s, \quad 1 \leq a \leq n_i\} \cup \{\pm(\varepsilon_a^i \pm \varepsilon_b^j); 1 \leq i < j \leq s+1\}, \\ &\cup \{\pm\left(\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_b^i\right) ; 1 \leq i \leq s, \quad 1 \leq a < b \leq n_i\}. \end{aligned}$$

The subalgebra $\mathfrak{t}$ is formed by diagonal matrices of the form (9), with

$$\Lambda = \operatorname{diag}\left(\varepsilon^1_{n_1}, \ldots, \varepsilon^1_{n_1}, \varepsilon^2_{n_2}, \ldots, \varepsilon^2_{n_2}, \ldots, \varepsilon^s_{n_s}, \ldots, \varepsilon^s_{n_s}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \tag{11}$$

and as the case before each $\varepsilon^i_{n_i}$ appears exactly n_i times, $i = 1, \ldots, s$. Restricting the roots of Π^+_M to $\mathfrak{t}$ and keeping the notation $\delta_i := k(\varepsilon^i_a)$ we obtain $\Pi^+_{\mathfrak{t}}$ as in (10). Thus we proved the following result.

Lemma 2.2. *The set of t-roots on both spaces $\mathbb{F}_B(n_1, \ldots, n_s)$ and $\mathbb{F}_B[n_1, \ldots, n_{s+1}]$ is given by*

$$\Pi_{\mathfrak{t}} = \{\pm\delta_i, \pm 2\delta_i, 1 \leq i \leq s\} \cup \{\pm(\delta_i \pm \delta_j), 1 \leq i < j \leq s\}$$

where δ_i represents the restriction of the functional ε^i_a to $\mathfrak{t}$. In particular, there exist $s^2 + s$ positive t-roots in both cases.

Now we can compute the algebraic Einstein system for the manifold $\mathbb{F}_B[n_1, \ldots, n_{s+1}]$. The Killing form on $\mathfrak{so}(2n+1, \mathbb{C})$ is given by

$$B(X, Y) = 2(2n-1)\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i b_i = \operatorname{tr}(XY)(2n-1),$$

for $X = \operatorname{diag}(0, a_1, \ldots, a_n, -a_1, \ldots, -a_n)$ and $Y = \operatorname{diag}(0, b_1, \ldots, b_n, -b_1, \ldots, -b_n)$.
Using the Killing form, we obtain

$$H_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & \\ & \Lambda_\alpha & \\ & & -\Lambda_\alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

where Λ_α is a diagonal matrix $n \times n$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j} &= \frac{1}{2(2n-1)}\operatorname{diag}(0, \ldots, 1_i, \ldots, -1_j, \ldots, 0); \\ \Lambda_{\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j} &= \frac{1}{2(2n-1)}\operatorname{diag}(0, \ldots, 1_i, \ldots, 1_j, \ldots, 0); \\ \Lambda_{\varepsilon_i} &= \frac{1}{2(2n-1)}\operatorname{diag}(0, \ldots, 1_i, \ldots, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$B(\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j, \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j) = B(\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j, \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j) = \frac{1}{2n-1} \text{ and } B(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_i) = \frac{1}{2\,(2n-1)}.$$

Using the equation

$$[E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha}] = B\,(E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha})\,H_\alpha, \tag{12}$$

we obtain the vectors satisfying (2), where E_α is the canonical eigenvector in $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. Thus

$$E^{ij} := X_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j} = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2(2n-1)}}E_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j}, \qquad E^{ji} := X_{\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_i} = -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2(2n-1)}}E_{\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_i}, \qquad 1 \leq i < j \leq n;$$

$$G^i := X_{\varepsilon_i} = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2(2n-1)}}E_{\varepsilon_i}, \qquad G^{-i} := X_{-\varepsilon_i} = -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2(2n-1)}}E_{-\varepsilon_i}, \qquad 1 \leq i \leq n;$$

$$F^{ij} := X_{\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j} = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2(2n-1)}}E_{\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j}, \quad F^{-ij} := X_{-(\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j)} = -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2(2n-1)}}E_{-(\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j)}, \quad 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n.$$

For the using of Proposition 1.1 it will be convenient to adopt the following notation:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G^i_a = X_{\varepsilon^i_a}, & G^{-i}_{-a} = X_{-\varepsilon^i_a}, & 1 \leq i \leq s, \\ E^{ij}_{ab} = X_{\varepsilon^i_a - \varepsilon^j_b}, & & 1 \leq i \neq j \leq s, \\ F^{ij}_{ab} = X_{\varepsilon^i_a + \varepsilon^j_b}, & F^{-ij}_{-ab} = X_{-\left(\varepsilon^i_a + \varepsilon^j_b\right)}, & 1 \leq i < j \leq s, \\ F^{i}_{ab} = X_{\varepsilon^i_a + \varepsilon^i_b}, & F^{-i}_{-ab} = X_{-\left(\varepsilon^i_a + \varepsilon^i_b\right)}, & 1 \leq a \neq b \leq n_i. \end{array}$$

We will denote the invariant scalar product g valued on the base $\{X_\alpha, \alpha \in \Pi_M\}$, by:

$$\begin{array}{c} g_{ij} = g\left(E^{ij}_{ab}, E^{ji}_{ba}\right),\ f_{ij} = g\left(F^{ij}_{ab}, F^{-ij}_{-ab}\right),\ 1 \leq i < j \leq s, \\ h_i = g\left(G^i_a, G^{-i}_{-a}\right),\ l_i = g\left(F^i_{ab}, F^{-i}_{-ab}\right),\ 1 \leq i \leq s. \end{array}$$

From (2) we conclude that on $\mathfrak{so}(2n+1, \mathbb{C})$, the non zero square of the structure constants $N_{\alpha,\beta}$ are

$$N^2_{\alpha,\beta} = \frac{1}{2\,(2n-1)}. \tag{13}$$

Remark 2.3. *The restriction of the roots $\varepsilon^i_a - \varepsilon^{s+1}_b$, $\varepsilon^i_a + \varepsilon^{s+1}_b$ and ε^i_a to the subalgebra $\mathfrak{t}$, in (11), are equal for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Then it follows from Remark 1.2 that*

$$g_{i(s+1)} = f_{i(s+1)} = h_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq s. \tag{14}$$

Proposition 2.4. *The Einstein equations on flag manifolds $\mathbb{F}_B[n_1,\dots,n_{s+1}]$ reduces to an algebraic system of s^2+s unknowns and s^2+s equations, given by*

$$2(n_k+n_t)+(1+8n_{s+1})\frac{\left(g_{kt}^2-(h_t-h_k)^2\right)}{h_kh_t}+\sum_{i\neq k,t}^{s}\frac{n_i}{g_{ik}g_{it}}\left(g_{kt}^2-(g_{ik}-g_{it})^2\right)$$

$$+\sum_{i\neq k,t}^{s}\frac{n_i}{f_{ik}f_{it}}\left(g_{kt}^2-(f_{ik}-f_{it})^2\right)+\frac{4\,(n_t-1)}{f_{kt}l_t}\left(g_{kt}^2-(f_{kt}-l_t)^2\right)$$

$$+\frac{4\,(n_k-1)}{f_{kt}l_k}\left(g_{kt}^2-(f_{kt}-l_k)^2\right)=g_{kt},\quad 1\leq k<t\leq s$$

$$2(2n_{s+1}+n_k)+\frac{(n_k-1)}{h_kl_k}\left(h_k^2-(h_k-l_k)^2\right)+\sum_{i\neq k}^{s}\frac{n_i}{h_if_{ik}}\left(h_k^2-(h_i-f_{ik})^2\right)$$

$$+\sum_{i\neq k}^{s}\frac{n_i}{h_ig_{ik}}\left(h_k^2-(h_i-g_{ik})^2\right)=h_k,\quad 1\leq k\leq s$$

$$2(n_k+n_t)+(1+2n_{s+1})\frac{\left(f_{kt}^2-(h_k-h_t)^2\right)}{h_kh_t}+\frac{(n_k-1)}{l_kg_{kt}}\left(f_{kt}^2-(l_k-g_{kt})^2\right)$$

$$+\frac{(n_t-1)}{l_tg_{kt}}\left(f_{kt}^2-(l_t-g_{kt})^2\right)+\sum_{i\neq k,t}^{s}\frac{n_i}{f_{ik}g_{it}}\left(f_{kt}^2-(f_{ik}-g_{it})^2\right)$$

$$+\sum_{i\neq k,t}^{s}\frac{n_i}{f_{it}g_{ik}}\left(f_{kt}^2-(f_{it}-g_{ik})^2\right)=f_{kt},\quad 1\leq k<t\leq s$$

$$2(n_k+2n_{s+1})+\frac{(n_k-1)}{h_kl_k}\left(h_k^2-(h_k-l_k)^2\right)+\sum_{i\neq k}^{s}\frac{n_i}{h_ig_{ik}}\left(h_k^2-(h_i-g_{ik})^2\right)$$

$$+\sum_{i\neq k}^{s}\frac{n_i}{h_if_{ik}}\left(h_k^2-(h_i-f_{ik})^2\right)=h_k,\quad 1\leq k\leq s$$

$$4(n_k-1)+\frac{(1+2n_{s+1})\,l_k^2}{h_k^2}+2\sum_{i\neq k}^{s}\frac{n_i}{g_{ik}f_{ik}}\left(l_k^2-(g_{ik}-f_{ik})^2\right)=l_k,\quad 1\leq k\leq s$$

$$2(n_k+2n_{s+1})+\frac{(n_k-1)}{l_kh_k}\left(h_k^2-(l_k-h_k)^2\right)+\sum_{i\neq k}^{s}\frac{n_i}{f_{ik}h_i}\left(h_k^2-(f_{ik}-h_i)^2\right)$$

$$+\sum_{i\neq k}^{s}\frac{n_i}{g_{ik}h_i}\left(h_k^2-(g_{ik}-h_i)^2\right)=h_k,\quad 1\leq k\leq s$$

Proof. The strategy of the proof is simple: 1) compute $\Pi_K(\alpha)$ and $\Pi_\Theta(\alpha)$ for each $\alpha\in\Pi_M$; 2) to substitute the sum of the elements of these sets and the structural constants (13) in the Proposition 1.1, obtaining explicitly the Einstein algebraic system. The laborious part is the step 1), thus we write only this step.

$\alpha \in \Pi_M$	$\Pi_\Theta(\alpha)$ is the union of	$\Pi_M(\alpha)$ is the union of
$\varepsilon_c^k - \varepsilon_d^t$ $1 \le k < t \le s$	$\{\varepsilon_a^k - \varepsilon_c^k : 1 \le a \le n_k, a \ne c\}$ $\{\varepsilon_d^t - \varepsilon_a^t : 1 \le a \le n_t, a \ne d\}$	$\{\left(\varepsilon_d^t + \varepsilon_a^k\right), -\left(\varepsilon_c^k + \varepsilon_a^k\right) : 1 \le a \le n_k, a \ne c\}, \{\varepsilon_d^t\},$ $\{-\varepsilon_c^k\}, \{\left(\varepsilon_d^t + \varepsilon_a^t\right), -\left(\varepsilon_c^k + \varepsilon_a^t\right) : 1 \le a \le n_t, a \ne d\}$ $\{\left(\varepsilon_d^t \pm \varepsilon_a^i\right), \left(\varepsilon_a^i - \varepsilon_c^k\right), -\left(\varepsilon_c^k + \varepsilon_a^i\right)\}$ $1 \le i \le s+1, i \ne k, t$ and $1 \le a \le n_i$
$\varepsilon_c^k - \varepsilon_d^{s+1}$ $1 \le k \le s$	$\{\left(\varepsilon_d^{s+1} - \varepsilon_a^{s+1}\right), (\varepsilon_a^{s+1} + \varepsilon_d^{s+1})\}$ $1 \le a \le n_{s+1}, a \ne d$ $\{\varepsilon_a^k - \varepsilon_c^k : 1 \le a \le n_k, a \ne c\}$ $\{\varepsilon_d^{s+1}\}$	$\{\left(\varepsilon_a^i - \varepsilon_c^k\right), -\left(\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_c^k\right), \left(\varepsilon_d^{s+1} - \varepsilon_a^i\right), \left(\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_d^{s+1}\right)\}$ $1 \le i \le s, i \ne k, 1 \le a \le n_i$ $\{-\left(\varepsilon_a^k + \varepsilon_c^k\right), \left(\varepsilon_a^k + \varepsilon_d^{s+1}\right) : 1 \le a \le n_k, a \ne c\}$
$\varepsilon_c^k + \varepsilon_d^t$ $1 \le k < t \le s$	$\{\varepsilon_a^t - \varepsilon_d^t : 1 \le a \le n_t, a \ne d\}$ $\{\varepsilon_a^k - \varepsilon_c^k : 1 \le a \le n_k, a \ne c\}$	$\{\left(\varepsilon_a^k - \varepsilon_d^t\right), -\left(\varepsilon_c^k + \varepsilon_a^k\right) : 1 \le a \le n_k, a \ne c\},$ $\{-\varepsilon_d^t\}, \{-\varepsilon_c^k\}$ $\{\left(\varepsilon_a^t - \varepsilon_c^k\right), -\left(\varepsilon_d^t + \varepsilon_a^t\right) : 1 \le a \le n_t, a \ne d\},$ $\{\left(\varepsilon_a^{s+1} - \varepsilon_d^t\right), \left(\varepsilon_a^{s+1} - \varepsilon_c^k\right), -\left(\varepsilon_d^t + \varepsilon_a^{s+1}\right), -\left(\varepsilon_c^k + \varepsilon_a^{s+1}\right)\}$ $1 \le a \le n_{s+1}$ $\{\left(\varepsilon_a^i - \varepsilon_d^t\right), \left(\varepsilon_a^i - \varepsilon_c^k\right), -\left(\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_d^t\right), -\left(\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_c^k\right)\}$ $1 \le i \le s, i \ne k, t, 1 \le a \le n_i$
$\varepsilon_c^k + \varepsilon_d^{s+1}$ $1 \le k \le s$	$\{\varepsilon_a^k - \varepsilon_c^k : 1 \le a \le n_k, a \ne c\}, \{-\varepsilon_d^{s+1}\}$ $\{\left(\varepsilon_a^{s+1} - \varepsilon_d^{s+1}\right), -\left(\varepsilon_a^{s+1} + \varepsilon_d^{s+1}\right)\}$ $1 \le a \le n_{s+1}, a \ne d$	$\{\left(\varepsilon_a^i - \varepsilon_c^k\right), \left(\varepsilon_a^i - \varepsilon_d^{s+1}\right), -\left(\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_c^k\right), -\left(\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_d^{s+1}\right)\}$ $1 \le i \le s, i \ne k, 1 \le a \le n_i$ $\{-\left(\varepsilon_a^k + \varepsilon_c^k\right), \left(\varepsilon_a^k - \varepsilon_d^{s+1}\right) : 1 \le a \le n_k, a \ne c\}$

$\alpha \in \Pi_M$	$\Pi_\Theta(\alpha)$ is the union of	$\Pi_M(\alpha)$ is the union of
$\varepsilon_c^k + \varepsilon_d^k$ $1 \le k \le s$ $1 \le c < d \le n_k$	$\{(\varepsilon_a^k - \varepsilon_c^k), (\varepsilon_a^k - \varepsilon_d^k)\}$ $1 \le a \le n_k, a \ne c, d$	$\{(\varepsilon_a^{s+1} - \varepsilon_c^k), (\varepsilon_a^{s+1} - \varepsilon_d^k), -(\varepsilon_c^k + \varepsilon_a^{s+1}), -(\varepsilon_d^k + \varepsilon_a^{s+1})\}$ $1 \le a \le n_{s+1}$ $\{(\varepsilon_a^i - \varepsilon_c^k), (\varepsilon_a^i - \varepsilon_d^k), -(\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_c^k), -(\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_d^k)\}$ $1 \le i \le s, i \ne k, 1 \le a \le n_i$ $\{-\varepsilon_c^k, -\varepsilon_d^k\}$
ε_c^k $1 \le k \le s$	$\{\varepsilon_a^k - \varepsilon_c^k : 1 \le a \le n_k, a \ne c\}$ $\{\pm\varepsilon_a^{s+1} : 1 \le a \le n_{s+1}\}$	$\{\varepsilon_a^k, -(\varepsilon_a^k + \varepsilon_c^k) : 1 \le a \le n_k, a \ne c\}$ $\{\pm\varepsilon_a^i, (\varepsilon_a^i - \varepsilon_c^k), -(\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_c^k)\}$ $1 \le i \le s, i \ne k, 1 \le a \le n_i$

To simplify the algebraic system, we set $c = \dfrac{1}{4(2n-1)}$, where c is the Einstein constant such that $Ric(g) = cg$. □

Note that the previous equations are not symmetric in the sense that n_{s+1} is treated differently of the others n_i. In this sense, the algebraic Einstein system is symmetric on the flag manifolds $\mathbb{F}_B(n_1, \ldots, n_s)$.

Proposition 2.5. *The Einstein equations on the spaces $\mathbb{F}_B(n_1, \ldots, n_s)$ are given by the following algebraic system*

$$2\left(n_k+n_t\right)+\frac{\left(g_{kt}^2-\left(h_t-h_k\right)^2\right)}{h_kh_t}+\sum_{i\neq k,t}^{s}\frac{n_i}{g_{ik}g_{it}}\left(g_{kt}^2-\left(g_{ik}-g_{it}\right)^2\right)$$

$$+\sum_{i\neq k,t}^{s}\frac{n_i}{f_{ik}f_{it}}\left(g_{kt}^2-\left(f_{ik}-f_{it}\right)^2\right)+\frac{4\left(n_t-1\right)}{f_{kt}l_t}\left(g_{kt}^2-\left(f_{kt}-l_t\right)^2\right)$$

$$+\frac{4\left(n_k-1\right)}{f_{kt}l_k}\left(g_{kt}^2-\left(f_{kt}-l_k\right)^2\right)=g_{kt}$$

$$2\left(n_k+n_t\right)+\frac{\left(f_{kt}^2-\left(h_k-h_t\right)^2\right)}{h_kh_t}+\frac{\left(n_k-1\right)}{g_{kt}l_k}\left(f_{kt}^2-\left(g_{kt}-l_k\right)^2\right)$$

$$+\frac{\left(n_t-1\right)}{g_{kt}l_t}\left(f_{kt}^2-\left(g_{kt}-l_t\right)^2\right)+\sum_{i\neq k,t}^{s}\frac{n_i}{f_{ik}g_{it}}\left(f_{kt}^2-\left(f_{ik}-g_{it}\right)^2\right)$$

$$+\sum_{i\neq k,t}^{s}\frac{n_i}{f_{it}g_{ik}}\left(f_{kt}^2-\left(f_{it}-g_{ik}\right)^2\right)=f_{kt}$$

$$4\left(n_k-1\right)+\frac{l_k^2}{h_k^2}+2\sum_{i\neq k}^{s}\frac{n_i}{f_{ik}g_{ik}}\left(l_k^2-\left(f_{ik}-g_{ik}\right)^2\right)=l_k$$

$$2n_k+\sum_{i\neq k}^{s}\frac{n_i}{f_{ik}h_i}\left(h_k^2-\left(f_{ik}-h_i\right)^2\right)+\frac{\left(n_k-1\right)\left(h_k^2-\left(h_k-l_k\right)^2\right)}{h_kl_k}$$

$$+\sum_{i\neq k}^{s}\frac{n_i}{g_{ik}h_i}\left(h_k^2-\left(g_{ik}-h_i\right)^2\right)=h_k$$

Proof. This result can be proved analogously to previous way or it can be obtained formally by substituting $n_{s+1} = 0$ in the Proposition 2.4. □

For $n_1 = \cdots = n_s = 1$, in the previous result, we obtain the Einstein equation for full flag manifolds of class B_n:

$$\mathbb{F}_B(n) = SO(2n+1)/U(1) \times \cdots \times U(1),$$

where $U(1)$ appears n times and $n \geq 2$. These equations were obtained in a similar way by [10].

Corollary 2.6. *The Einstein equations on $\mathbb{F}_B(n)$ are given by*

$$4 + \frac{g_{kt}^2 - (h_t - h_k)^2}{h_k h_t} + \sum_{i \neq k,t}^{n} \frac{g_{kt}^2 - (g_{ik} - g_{it})^2}{g_{ik} g_{it}} + \sum_{i \neq k,t}^{n} \frac{g_{kt}^2 - (f_{ik} - f_{it})^2}{f_{ik} f_{it}} = \qquad g_{kt}$$

$$4 + \frac{f_{kt}^2 - (h_k - h_t)^2}{h_k h_t} + \sum_{i \neq k,t}^{n} \frac{f_{kt}^2 - (f_{ik} - g_{it})^2}{f_{ik} g_{it}} + \sum_{i \neq k,t}^{n} \frac{1}{f_{it} g_{ik}} f_{kt}^2 - (f_{it} - g_{ik})^2 = \qquad f_{kt}$$

$$2 + \sum_{i \neq k}^{n} \frac{h_k^2 - (f_{ik} - h_i)^2}{f_{ik} h_i} + \sum_{i \neq k}^{n} \frac{h_k^2 - (g_{ik} - h_i)^2}{g_{ik} h_i} = \qquad h_k$$

here $g_{ij} = g\left(E^{ij}, E^{ji}\right)$, $f_{ij} = g\left(F^{ij}, F^{-ij}\right)$, $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ and $h_i = g\left(G^i, G^{-i}\right)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

2.3 Case C_n

The flag manifolds of type C_n have one of the following forms

$$\mathbb{F}_C(n_1, \ldots, n_s) = Sp(n)/U(n_1) \times \cdots \times U(n_s),$$

$$\mathbb{F}_C[n_1, \ldots, n_{s+1}] = Sp(n)/\left(U(n_1) \times \cdots \times U(n_s) \times Sp(n_{s+1})\right)$$

where $n = \sum n_i$ and $n_{s+1} > 1$.

Theorem 2.7. *The set $\Pi_{\mathfrak{t}}$ of t-roots corresponding to the flag manifolds $\mathbb{F}_C(n_1, \ldots, n_s)$ is a system of roots of type C_s.*

Proof. A Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$ consists in taking matrices of the form

$$\mathfrak{h} = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & 0 \\ 0 & -\Lambda \end{pmatrix} \tag{15}$$

where $\Lambda = \operatorname{diag}(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n)$, $\varepsilon_i \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus the root system is

$$\Pi = \{\pm(\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j), \pm(\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j);\ 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} \cup \{\pm 2\varepsilon_i;\ 1 \leq i \leq n\}. \tag{16}$$

The root system for the subalgebra $\mathfrak{k}_\Theta^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{sl}(n_1, \mathbb{C}) \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{sl}(n_s, \mathbb{C})$ is given by

$$\Pi_\Theta = \{\pm\left(\varepsilon_a^i - \varepsilon_b^i\right);\ 1 \leq a < b \leq n_i, 1 \leq i \leq s\}.$$

Then

$$\Pi_M = \{\pm(\varepsilon_a^i - \varepsilon_b^j), \pm(\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_b^j);\ 1 \leq i < j \leq s\} \cup \{\pm\left(\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_b^i\right);\ 1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq a \leq b \leq n_i\}.$$

We see that the algebra $\mathfrak{t}$ has the form

$$\mathfrak{t} = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & 0 \\ 0 & -\Lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$\Lambda = \operatorname{diag}\left(\varepsilon^1_{n_1}, \ldots, \varepsilon^1_{n_1}, \varepsilon^2_{n_2}, \ldots, \varepsilon^3_{n_3}, \ldots, \varepsilon^s_{n_s}, \ldots, \varepsilon^s_{n_s}\right)$$

where each $\varepsilon^i_{n_i}$ appears exactly n_i times, $i = 1, \ldots, s$. So restricting the roots of Π_M in $\mathfrak{t}$, and using the notation $\delta_i = k(\varepsilon^i_a)$, we obtain the t-root set:

$$\Pi_{\mathfrak{t}} = \{\pm(\delta_i - \delta_j), \pm(\delta_i + \delta_j);\, 1 \le i < j \le s\} \cup \{\pm 2\delta_i;\, 1 \le i \le s\}.$$

Note that $k(\varepsilon^i_a + \varepsilon^i_b) = k(2\varepsilon^i_a)$, $1 \le i \le s$. So the number of positive t-roots is s^2 . □

The Killing form of $\mathfrak{sp}(\mathfrak{n})$ is

$$B(X, Y) = 2(n+1)\operatorname{tr}(XY),$$

and

$$B(\alpha, \alpha) = \begin{cases} 1/(n+1), & \text{if} \quad \alpha = \pm 2\varepsilon_i, \\ 1/2(n+1), & \text{if} \quad \alpha = \pm(\varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j), \quad 1 \le i < j \le n. \end{cases}$$

Then the eigenvectors $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ satisfying (2) are

$$X_{\pm(\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j)} = \pm\frac{1}{2\sqrt{n+1}} E_{\pm(\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j)}, \quad X_{\pm(\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j)} = \pm\frac{1}{2\sqrt{n+1}} E_{\pm(\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j)}, \quad 1 \le i < j \le n;$$

$$X_{\pm 2\varepsilon_i} = \pm\frac{1}{\sqrt{2(n+1)}} E_{\pm 2\varepsilon_i}, \quad 1 \le i \le n,$$

where E_α denotes the canonical eigenvectors of $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. As in the previous case we use the convenient notation

$$\begin{aligned} &E^{ij}_{ab} = X_{\varepsilon^i_a - \varepsilon^j_b}, \quad F^{ij}_{ab} = X_{\varepsilon^i_a + \varepsilon^j_b},\ F^{ij}_{-ab} = X_{-\left(\varepsilon^i_a + \varepsilon^j_b\right)}, \quad 1 \le i < j \le s; \\ &F^i_{ab} = X_{\varepsilon^i_a + \varepsilon^i_b},\ F^{-i}_{-ab} = X_{-\left(\varepsilon^i_a + \varepsilon^i_b\right)}, \quad 1 \le a \ne b \le n_i; \\ &G^i_a = X_{2\varepsilon^i_a}, \quad G^{-i}_{-a} = X_{-2\varepsilon^i_a},\ 1 \le i \le s. \end{aligned}$$

An invariant metric on $\mathbb{F}_C(n_1, \ldots, n_s)$ will be denoted by

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ij} &= g\left(E^{ij}_{ab}, E^{ji}_{ba}\right), \quad f_{ij} = g\left(F^{ij}_{ab}, F^{-ij}_{-ab}\right), \quad 1 \le i < j \le s; \\ h_i &= g\left(G^i_a, G^{-i}_{-a}\right), \quad l_i = g\left(F^i_{ab}, F^{-i}_{-ab}\right), \quad 1 \le i \le s. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Since $k(\varepsilon^i_a + \varepsilon^i_b) = k(2\varepsilon^i_a) = 2k(\varepsilon^i_a)$ it follows

$$l_i = h_i, \quad 1 \le i \le s,$$

by remark 1.2.

Considering short and long roots of $\mathfrak{sp}(n)$, one can see that the square of structural constants are given by

$$\begin{aligned} &N^2_{(\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j), \pm(\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j)} = N^2_{\pm 2\varepsilon_j, (\varepsilon_i \mp \varepsilon_j)} = N^2_{-2\varepsilon_i, (\varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j)} = \frac{1}{2(n+1)}; \quad i \ne j \\ &N^2_{(\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j), \alpha} = N^2_{(\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j), \beta} = \frac{1}{4(n+1)} \end{aligned}$$

if $\alpha \in \{(\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_i), (\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_k), (\varepsilon_j + \varepsilon_l), -(\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_p) : p \ne i; l \ne j; k \ne i, j; i \ne j\}$ and $\beta \in \{-(\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_k), -(\varepsilon_j + \varepsilon_l) : k \ne j; l \ne i\}$.

In the next table we compute $\Pi_\Theta(\alpha)$ and $\Pi_M(\alpha)$ for each $\alpha \in \Pi_M$.

$\alpha \in \Pi_M$	$\Pi_\Theta(\alpha)$ is the union of	$\Pi_M(\alpha)$ is the union of
$\varepsilon_c^k - \varepsilon_d^t$ $1 \le k < t \le s$	$\{\varepsilon_a^k - \varepsilon_c^k : 1 \le a \le n_k, a \ne c\}$ $\{\varepsilon_d^t - \varepsilon_a^t : 1 \le a \le n_t, a \ne d\}$	$\{(\varepsilon_d^t - \varepsilon_a^i), (\varepsilon_a^i - \varepsilon_c^k), (\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_d^t), -(\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_c^k)\}$ $1 \le i \le s, i \ne k, t$ and $1 \le a \le n_i$ $\{(\varepsilon_a^k + \varepsilon_d^t), -(\varepsilon_a^k + \varepsilon_c^k) : 1 \le a \le n_k\}$ $\{-(\varepsilon_a^t + \varepsilon_c^k), (\varepsilon_a^t + \varepsilon_d^t) : 1 \le a \le n_t\}$
$\varepsilon_c^k + \varepsilon_d^t$ $1 \le k < t \le s$	$\{\varepsilon_a^k - \varepsilon_c^k : 1 \le a \le n_k, a \ne c\}$ $\{\varepsilon_a^t - \varepsilon_d^t : 1 \le a \le n_t, a \ne d\}$	$\{(\varepsilon_a^i - \varepsilon_c^k), (\varepsilon_a^i - \varepsilon_d^t), -(\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_c^k), -(\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_d^t)\}$ $1 \le i \le s, i \ne k, t; 1 \le a \le n_i$ $\{(\varepsilon_a^t - \varepsilon_c^k), -(\varepsilon_a^t + \varepsilon_d^t) : 1 \le a \le n_t\}$ $\{(\varepsilon_a^k - \varepsilon_d^t), -(\varepsilon_a^k + \varepsilon_c^k) : 1 \le a \le n_k\}$
$\varepsilon_c^k + \varepsilon_d^k$ $1 \le k \le s$ $1 \le c < d \le n_k$	$\{(\varepsilon_a^k - \varepsilon_c^k), (\varepsilon_a^k - \varepsilon_d^k)\}$ $1 \le a \le n_k; a \ne c, d$ $\{\pm(\varepsilon_c^k - \varepsilon_d^k)\}$	$\{(\varepsilon_a^i - \varepsilon_c^k), (\varepsilon_a^i - \varepsilon_d^k), -(\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_c^k), -(\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_d^k)\}$ $1 \le i \le s; i \ne k$
$2\varepsilon_c^k$ $1 \le k \le s$	$\{\varepsilon_a^k - \varepsilon_c^k : 1 \le a \le n_k; a \ne c\}$	$\{(\varepsilon_a^i - \varepsilon_c^k), -(\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_c^k) : 1 \le i \le s; i \ne k\}$

Now, following proposition 1.1 we obtain the following result.

Proposition 2.8. *The Einstein equation for an invariant metric on $\mathbb{F}_C(n_1,\dots,n_s)$ reduces to an algebraic system where the number of unknowns and equations is s^2, given by*

$$2(n_k+n_t)+\frac{(n_k+1)}{h_kf_{kt}}\left(g_{kt}^2-(h_k-f_{kt})^2\right)+\frac{(n_t+1)}{h_tf_{kt}}\left(g_{kt}^2-(h_t-f_{kt})^2\right)$$

$$+\sum_{i\neq k,t}^{s}\frac{n_i}{g_{ik}g_{it}}\left(g_{kt}^2-(g_{ik}-g_{it})^2\right)+\sum_{i\neq k,t}^{s}\frac{n_i}{f_{ik}f_{it}}\left(g_{kt}^2-(f_{ik}-f_{it})^2\right)=g_{kt},\quad 1\leq k\neq t\leq s;$$

$$2(n_k+n_t)+\frac{(n_k+1)}{h_kg_{kt}}\left(f_{kt}^2-(h_k-g_{kt})^2\right)+\frac{(n_t+1)}{h_tg_{kt}}\left(f_{kt}^2-(h_t-g_{kt})^2\right)$$

$$+\sum_{i\neq k,t}^{s}\frac{n_i}{f_{it}g_{ik}}\left(f_{kt}^2-(f_{it}-g_{ik})^2\right)+\sum_{i\neq k,t}^{s}\frac{n_i}{f_{ik}g_{it}}\left(f_{kt}^2-(f_{ik}-g_{it})^2\right)=f_{kt},\quad 1\leq k\neq t\leq s;$$

$$4(n_k+1)+2\sum_{i\neq k}^{s}\frac{n_i}{f_{ik}g_{ik}}\left(h_k^2-(f_{ik}-g_{ik})^2\right)=h_k,\quad 1\leq k\leq s.$$

If each $n_i=1$ then $s=n$ and thus we obtain the full flag manifolds of type C_n, which will be denoted by

$$\mathbb{F}_C(n)=Sp(n)/U(1)\times\cdots\times U(1)$$

where $U(1)$ appears n times. It is easy to apply the previous result to this space, which were treated by [10].

Now we will discuss the space $\mathbb{F}_C[n_1,\dots,n_{s+1}]$. Considering Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{C})$, given in (15), we see that the root system of the pair $(\mathfrak{k}_\Theta^{\mathbb{C}},\mathfrak{h})$, with $\mathfrak{k}_\Theta^{\mathbb{C}}=\mathfrak{sl}(n_1,\mathbb{C})\times\cdots\times\mathfrak{sl}(n_s,\mathbb{C})\times\mathfrak{sp}(n_{s+1},\mathbb{C})$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_\Theta=&\{\pm\left(\varepsilon_a^i-\varepsilon_b^i\right);\,1\leq i\leq s+1\}\cup\{\pm\left(\varepsilon_a^{s+1}+\varepsilon_b^{s+1}\right);\,1\leq a<b\leq n_{s+1}\}\\&\cup\{\pm2\varepsilon_a^{s+1};\,1\leq a\leq n_{s+1}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_M=&\{\pm(\varepsilon_a^i-\varepsilon_b^j),\pm(\varepsilon_a^i+\varepsilon_b^j);\,1\leq i<j\leq s+1\}\\&\cup\{\pm(\varepsilon_a^i+\varepsilon_b^i);\,1\leq i\leq s,1\leq a\leq b\leq n_i\}.\end{aligned}$$

In the next table we compute the sets $\Pi_\Theta(\alpha)$ and $\Pi_M(\alpha)$ for each $\alpha\in\Pi_M^+$.

$\alpha \in \Pi_M$	$\Pi_\Theta(\alpha)$ is the union of	$\Pi_M(\alpha)$ is the union of
$\varepsilon_c^k - \varepsilon_d^t$ $1 \le k < t \le s$	$\{\varepsilon_a^k - \varepsilon_c^k\}$ $1 \le a \le n_k, a \ne c$ $\{\varepsilon_d^t - \varepsilon_a^t\}$ $1 \le a \le n_t, a \ne d$	$\{(\varepsilon_d^t - \varepsilon_a^i), (\varepsilon_a^i - \varepsilon_c^k), (\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_d^t), -(\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_c^k)\}$ $1 \le i \le s+1, i \ne k, t; 1 \le a \le n_i$ $\{(\varepsilon_a^k + \varepsilon_d^t), -(\varepsilon_a^k + \varepsilon_c^k) : 1 \le a \le n_k\}$ $\{-(\varepsilon_a^t + \varepsilon_c^k), (\varepsilon_a^t + \varepsilon_d^t) : 1 \le a \le n_t\}$
$\varepsilon_c^k - \varepsilon_d^{s+1}$ $1 \le k \le s$	$\{(\varepsilon_d^{s+1} - \varepsilon_a^{s+1}), (\varepsilon_a^{s+1} + \varepsilon_d^{s+1})\}$ $1 \le a \le n_{s+1}, a \ne d$ $\{\varepsilon_a^k - \varepsilon_c^k\}$ $1 \le a \le n_k, a \ne c$	$\{(\varepsilon_d^{s+1} - \varepsilon_a^i), (\varepsilon_a^i - \varepsilon_c^k), (\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_d^{s+1}), -(\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_c^k)\}$ $1 \le i \le s, i \ne k; 1 \le a \le n_i$ $\{(\varepsilon_a^k + \varepsilon_d^{s+1}), -(\varepsilon_a^k + \varepsilon_c^k)\}$
$\varepsilon_c^k + \varepsilon_d^t$ $1 \le k < t \le s$	$\{\varepsilon_a^k - \varepsilon_c^k\}$ $1 \le a \le n_k, a \ne c$ $\{\varepsilon_a^t - \varepsilon_d^t\}$ $1 \le a \le n_t, a \ne d$	$\{(\varepsilon_a^i - \varepsilon_c^k), (\varepsilon_a^i - \varepsilon_d^t), -(\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_c^k), -(\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_d^t)\}$ $1 \le i \le s+1, i \ne k, t; 1 \le a \le n_i$ $\{(\varepsilon_a^t - \varepsilon_c^k), -(\varepsilon_a^t + \varepsilon_d^t) : 1 \le a \le n_t\}$ $\{(\varepsilon_a^k - \varepsilon_d^t), -(\varepsilon_a^k + \varepsilon_c^k) : 1 \le a \le n_k\}$

$\alpha \in \Pi_M$	$\Pi_\Theta(\alpha)$ is the union of	$\Pi_M(\alpha)$ is the union of
$\varepsilon_c^k + \varepsilon_d^{s+1}$ $1 \le k \le s$	$\{\varepsilon_a^k - \varepsilon_c^k\}$ $1 \le a \le n_k, a \ne c$ $\{(\varepsilon_a^{s+1} - \varepsilon_d^{s+1}), -(\varepsilon_a^{s+1} + \varepsilon_d^{s+1}), -2\varepsilon_d^{s+1}\}$ $1 \le a \le n_{s+1}, a \ne d$	$\{(\varepsilon_a^i - \varepsilon_c^k), (\varepsilon_a^i - \varepsilon_d^{s+1}), -(\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_d^{s+1}), -(\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_c^k)\}$ $1 \le i \le s, i \ne k; 1 \le a \le n_i$ $\{(\varepsilon_a^k - \varepsilon_d^{s+1}), -(\varepsilon_a^k + \varepsilon_c^k)\}$ $1 \le a \le n_k$
$\varepsilon_c^k + \varepsilon_d^k$ $1 \le k \le s$ $1 \le c < d \le n_k$	$\{(\varepsilon_a^k - \varepsilon_c^k), (\varepsilon_a^k - \varepsilon_d^k)\}$ $1 \le a \le n_k; a \ne c, d$ $\{\pm(\varepsilon_c^k - \varepsilon_d^k)\}$	$\{(\varepsilon_a^i - \varepsilon_c^k), (\varepsilon_a^i - \varepsilon_d^k), -(\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_c^k), -(\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_d^k)\}$ $1 \le i \le s+1; i \ne k$
$2\varepsilon_c^k$ $1 \le k \le s$	$\{\varepsilon_a^k - \varepsilon_c^k\}$ $1 \le a \le n_k; a \ne c$	$\{(\varepsilon_a^i - \varepsilon_c^k), -(\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_c^k)\}$ $1 \le i \le s+1; i \ne k$

The subalgebra $\mathfrak{t}$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{t} = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & 0 \\ 0 & -\Lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$\Lambda = \mathrm{diag}(\varepsilon^1_{n_1}, \dots, \varepsilon^1_{n_1}, \dots, \varepsilon^s_{n_s}, \dots, \varepsilon^s_{n_s}, 0, \dots, 0),$$

where $\varepsilon^i_{n_i}$ appears n_i times for $i = 1, \dots, s$ and 0 appears n_{s+1} times. By restricting the roots of Π^+_M on $\mathfrak{t}$, we see that the t-root set is

$$\Pi_{\mathfrak{t}} = \{\pm(\delta_i \pm \delta_j)\,;\, 1 \le i < j \le s\} \cup \{\pm\delta_i, \pm 2\delta_i;\, 1 \le i \le s\}$$

where $\delta_i = k(\varepsilon^i_a)$. Note that the number of positive t-roots is $s^2 + s$. We keep the notation (17) for an invariant metric on $\mathbb{F}_C[n_1, \dots, n_{s+1}]$, but here we note that

$$g_{i(s+1)} = f_{i(s+1)} \quad \text{and} \quad l_i = h_i, \quad 1 \le i \le s,$$

because $k(\varepsilon^i_a - \varepsilon^{s+1}_b) = k(\varepsilon^i_a - \varepsilon^{s+1}_b)$ and $k(\varepsilon^i_a + \varepsilon^i_b) = k(2\varepsilon^i_a)$ for $1 \le i \le s$. Thus we obtain

Proposition 2.9. *The Einstein equation on the space $\mathbb{F}_C[n_1, \dots, n_{s+1}]$ reduces to an algebraic system where the number of unknowns and equations is $s^2 + s$, given by*

$$\frac{n_k + n_t}{4(n+1)} + \frac{1}{16(n+1)}\frac{(2n_k+1)}{h_k f_{kt}}\left(g^2_{kt} - (h_k - f_{kt})^2\right) + \frac{1}{16(n+1)}\frac{(2n_t+1)}{h_t f_{kt}}\left(g^2_{kt} - (h_t - f_{kt})^2\right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{8(n+1)}\sum_{i\neq k,t}^{s}\frac{n_i}{g_{ik}g_{it}}\left(g^2_{kt} - (g_{ik} - g_{it})^2\right) + \frac{1}{8(n+1)}\sum_{i\neq k,t}^{s}\frac{n_i}{f_{ik}f_{it}}\left(g^2_{kt} - (f_{ik} - f_{it})^2\right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4(n+1)}\frac{n_{s+1}}{g_{k(s+1)}g_{t(s+1)}}\left(g^2_{kt} - \left(g_{k(s+1)-}g_{t(s+1)}\right)^2\right) = cg_{kt}, \quad 1 \le k \neq t \le s;$$

$$\frac{n_k + 2n_{s+1} + 1}{4(n+1)} + \frac{1}{16(n+1)}\frac{(2n_k+1)}{h_k g_{k(s+1)}}\left(g^2_{k(s+1)} - \left(h_k - g_{k(s+1)}\right)^2\right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{8(n+1)}\sum_{i\neq k}^{s}\frac{n_i}{g_{ik}g_{i(s+1)}}\left(g^2_{k(s+1)} - \left(g_{ik} - g_{i(s+1)}\right)^2\right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{8(n+1)}\sum_{i\neq k}^{s}\frac{n_i}{f_{ik}g_{i(s+1)}}\left(g^2_{k(s+1)} - \left(f_{ik} - g_{i(s+1)}\right)^2\right) = cg_{k(s+1)}, \quad 1 \le k \le s;$$

$$\frac{n_k+n_t}{4\,(n+1)}+\frac{1}{16\,(n+1)}\frac{(2n_k+1)}{h_kg_{kt}}\left(f_{kt}^2-(h_k-g_{kt})^2\right)+\frac{1}{16\,(n+1)}\frac{(2n_t+1)}{h_tg_{kt}}\left(f_{kt}^2-(h_t-g_{kt})^2\right)$$

$$+\frac{1}{8\,(n+1)}\sum_{i\neq k,t}^{s}\frac{n_i}{f_{it}g_{ik}}\left(f_{kt}^2-(f_{it}-g_{ik})^2\right)+\frac{1}{8\,(n+1)}\sum_{i\neq k,t}^{s}\frac{n_i}{f_{ik}g_{it}}\left(f_{kt}^2-(f_{ik}-g_{it})^2\right)$$

$$+\frac{1}{4\,(n+1)}\frac{n_{s+1}}{g_{k(s+1)}g_{t(s+1)}}\left(f_{kt}^2-\left(g_{k(s+1)-}g_{t(s+1)}\right)^2\right)=cf_{kt},\quad 1\leq k\neq t\leq s;$$

$$\frac{n_k+2n_{s+1}+1}{4\,(n+1)}+\frac{1}{16\,(n+1)}\frac{(2n_k+1)}{h_kg_{k(s+1)}}\left(f_{k(s+1)}^2-\left(h_k-g_{k(s+1)}\right)^2\right)$$

$$+\frac{1}{8\,(n+1)}\sum_{i\neq k}^{s}\frac{n_i}{g_{ik}g_{i(s+1)}}\left(f_{k(s+1)}^2-\left(g_{ik}-g_{i(s+1)}\right)^2\right)=cg_{k(s+1)},\quad 1\leq k\leq s;$$

$$\frac{n_k+1}{2\,(n+1)}+\frac{n_{s+1}}{4\,(n+1)}\frac{h_k^2}{f_{k(s+1)}^2}+\frac{1}{4\,(n+1)}\sum_{i\neq k}^{s}\frac{n_i}{f_{ik}g_{ik}}\left(h_k^2-(f_{ik}-g_{ik})^2\right)=ch_k,\quad 1\leq k\leq s.$$

2.4 Case D_n

Flag manifolds of type D_n are spaces of the form

$$\mathbb{F}_D(n_1,\ldots,n_s)=SO(2n)/U(n_1)\times\cdots\times U(n_s),$$

$$\mathbb{F}_D[n_1,\ldots,n_{s+1}]=SO(2n)/U(n_1)\times\cdots\times U(n_s)\times SO(2n_{s+1}),$$

where $n=\sum n_i$ and $n_{s+1}\geq 4$.

The matrices in the algebra $\mathfrak{so}\,(2n,\mathbb{C})$ of the skew-symmetric matrices in even dimension can be written as

$$A=\begin{pmatrix}\alpha & \beta\\ \gamma & -\alpha^t\end{pmatrix}$$

where α,β,γ are matrices $n\times n$ with β,γ skew-symmetric.

Theorem 2.10. *The set of t-roots $\Pi_{\mathfrak{t}}$ corresponding to the space $\mathbb{F}_D(n_1,\ldots,n_s)$ is a root system of type C_s.*

Proof. A Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}\,(2n,\mathbb{C})$ is formed by matrices as

$$\mathfrak{h}=\{\mathrm{diag}\,(\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_n,-\varepsilon_1,\ldots,-\varepsilon_n)\,;\,\varepsilon_i\in\mathbb{C}\}. \tag{18}$$

The root system of the pair $(\mathfrak{so}\,(2n,\mathbb{C}),\mathfrak{h})$ is given by

$$\Pi=\{\pm\,(\varepsilon_i\pm\varepsilon_j)\,;\,1\leq i<j\leq n\}. \tag{19}$$

The system of roots for the subalgebra $\mathfrak{k}_\Theta^{\mathbb{C}}=\mathfrak{sl}\,(n_1,\mathbb{C})\times\cdots\times\mathfrak{sl}\,(n_s,\mathbb{C})$ is

$$\Pi_\Theta=\{\pm\left(\varepsilon_c^i-\varepsilon_d^i\right)\,;\,1\leq c<d\leq n_i\},$$

then

$$\Pi_M^+ = \{\varepsilon_a^i \pm \varepsilon_b^j;\, 1 \le i < j \le s\} \cup \{\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_b^i;\, a < b\}.$$

The subalgebra $\mathfrak{t}$ is formed by matrices of the form

$$\mathfrak{t} = \{\mathrm{diag}\left(\varepsilon_{n_1}^1, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n_1}^1, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n_s}^s, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n_s}^s, -\varepsilon_{n_1}^1, \ldots, -\varepsilon_{n_1}^1, \ldots, -\varepsilon_{n_s}^s, \ldots, -\varepsilon_{n_s}^s\right) \in i\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}\}$$

where $\varepsilon_{n_i}^i$ appears exactly n_i times. By restricting the roots of Π_M^+ to $\mathfrak{t}$, and using the notation $\delta_i = k(\varepsilon_a^i)$, we obtain the t-root set:

$$\Pi_{\mathfrak{t}}^+ = \{\delta_i \pm \delta_j, 2\delta_i; 1 \le i < j \le s\}$$

In particular there exist s^2 positive t-roots. □

The Killing form on $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$ is given by $B(X,Y) = 2(n-1)\,trXY$, $B(\alpha,\alpha) = \frac{1}{2(n-1)}$, for all $\alpha \in \Pi$. The eigenvectors X_α satisfying (2) are given by

$$E_{ab}^{ij} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n-1}} E_{\varepsilon_a^i - \varepsilon_b^j}, \quad F_{ab}^{ij} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n-1}} E_{\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_b^j},$$

$$F_{-ab}^{ij} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n-1}} E_{-(\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_b^j)}, \quad G_{ab}^{i} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n-1}} E_{\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_b^i}$$

where E_α denotes the canonical eigenvector of $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. The absolute value of structures constants is equal to $1/2\sqrt{n-1}$.

The notation for the invariant scalar product on the base $\{X_\alpha, \alpha \in \Pi_M\}$ is given by

$$g_{ij} = g(E_{ab}^{ij}, E_{ba}^{ji}), \quad f_{ij} = g(F_{ab}^{ij}, F_{-ab}^{ij}), \quad h_i = g(G_{ab}^{i}, G_{ba}^{i}), \quad 1 \le i < j \le s. \tag{20}$$

Proposition 2.11. ([2]) *The Einstein equations on the spaces $\mathbb{F}_D(n_1, \cdots, n_s)$ reduce to an algebraic system of s^2 equations and s^2 unknowns g_{ij}, f_{ij}, h_i :*

$$\begin{aligned}
n_i + n_j + \frac{1}{2}\{\sum_{l \ne i,j} \frac{n_l}{g_{il}g_{jl}}\left(g_{ij}^2 - (g_{il} - g_{jl})^2\right) + \sum_{l \ne i,j} \frac{n_l}{f_{il}f_{jl}}\left(g_{ij}^2 - (f_{il} - f_{jl})^2\right) \\
+ \frac{n_i - 1}{f_{ij}h_i}\left(g_{ij}^2 - (f_{ij} - h_i)^2\right) + \frac{n_j - 1}{f_{ij}h_j}\left(g_{ij}^2 - (f_{ij} - h_j)^2\right)\} = g_{ij}, \\
n_i + n_j + \frac{1}{2}\{\sum_{l \ne i,j} \frac{n_l}{g_{il}f_{jl}}\left(f_{ij}^2 - (g_{il} - f_{jl})^2\right) + \sum_{l \ne i,j} \frac{n_l}{f_{il}g_{jl}}\left(f_{ij}^2 - (f_{il} - g_{jl})^2\right) \\
+ \frac{n_i - 1}{g_{ij}h_i}\left(f_{ij}^2 - (g_{ij} - h_i)^2\right) + \frac{n_j - 1}{g_{ij}h_j}\left(f_{ij}^2 - (g_{ij} - h_j)^2\right)\} = f_{ij}, \\
2(n_i - 1) + \sum_{l \ne i} \frac{n_l}{g_{il}f_{il}}\left(h_i^2 - (g_{il} - f_{il})^2\right) = h_i.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we will treat the case $\mathbb{F}_D[n_1, \ldots, n_{s+1}]$. We consider the same Cartan subalgebra and root system associated given in (18) and (19). In this case, the root system for the subalgebra $\mathfrak{k}_\Theta^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{sl}(n_1, \mathbb{C}) \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{sl}(n_s, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{so}(2n_{s+1}, \mathbb{C})$, with respect to $\mathfrak{h}$ is

$$\Pi_\Theta = \{\pm\left(\varepsilon_c^i - \varepsilon_d^i\right);\, 1 \le c < d \le n_i, 1 \le i \le s+1\} \cup \{\pm(\varepsilon_c^{s+1} + \varepsilon_d^{s+1});\, 1 \le c < d \le n_{s+1}\}$$

then

$$\Pi_M^+ = \{\varepsilon_a^i \pm \varepsilon_b^j : 1 \le i < j \le s+1\} \cup \{\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_b^i : 1 \le a < b \le n_i, 1 \le i \le s\}.$$

The subalgebra $\mathfrak{t}$ is formed by matrices of the form

$$\mathfrak{t} = \{\text{diag}\left(\varepsilon^1_{n_1}, \dots, \varepsilon^1_{n_1}, \dots, \varepsilon^s_{n_s}, \dots, \varepsilon^s_{n_s}, -\varepsilon^1_{n_1}, \dots, -\varepsilon^1_{n_1}, \dots, -\varepsilon^s_{n_s}, \dots, -\varepsilon^s_{n_s}, 0, \dots, 0\right) \in i\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}\}$$

where $\varepsilon^i_{n_i}$ appears exactly n_i times and 0 appears t times. By restricting the roots of Π^+_M to $\mathfrak{t}$, and using the notation $\delta_i = k(\varepsilon^i_a)$, we obtain the t-root set:

$$\Pi^+_{\mathfrak{t}} = \{\delta_i \pm \delta_j, 2\delta_i, \delta_i : 1 \leq i < j \leq s\}$$

Note that $k(\varepsilon^i_a - \varepsilon^{s+1}_b) = \delta_i$, $1 \leq i \leq s$. Then from remark 1.2 it follows that

$$t_i := g_{i(s+1)} = f_{i(s+1)} \quad \text{and} \quad Ric(E^{i(s+1)}_{ab}, E^{(s+1)i}_{ba}) = Ric(F^{i(s+1)}_{ab}, F^{i(s+1)}_{-ab}), \quad 1 \leq i \leq s$$

here we are keeping the notation (20) for $1 \leq i < j \leq s+1$.

In the next table we compute $\Pi_\Theta(\alpha)$ and $\Pi_M(\alpha)$ for each $\alpha \in \Pi^+_M$.

$\alpha \in \Pi_M^+$	$\Pi_\Theta(\alpha)$ is the union of	$\Pi_M(\alpha)$ is the union of
$\varepsilon_a^i - \varepsilon_b^j$ $1 \le i < j \le s$	$\{\varepsilon_c^i - \varepsilon_a^i : 1 \le c \le n_i, c \ne a\}$ $\{\varepsilon_b^j - \varepsilon_c^j : 1 \le c \le n_j, c \ne b\}$	$\{\varepsilon_b^j \pm \varepsilon_c^k, \varepsilon_c^k - \varepsilon_a^i\}$ $1 \le k \le s+1, k \ne i, j$ $\{\varepsilon_b^j + \varepsilon_c^j : 1 \le c \le n_j, c \ne b\}$ $\{-(\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_c^i) : 1 \le c \le n_i, c \ne a\}$
$\varepsilon_a^i - \varepsilon_b^{s+1}$ $1 \le i \le s$	$\{\varepsilon_b^{s+1} \pm \varepsilon_c^{s+1} : 1 \le c \le n_{s+1}, c \ne b\}$ $\{\varepsilon_d^i - \varepsilon_a^i : 1 \le d \le n_i, d \ne a\}$	$\{\varepsilon_b^{s+1} \pm \varepsilon_c^k, \varepsilon_c^k - \varepsilon_a^i, -(\varepsilon_c^k + \varepsilon_a^i)\}$ $1 \le k \le s, k \ne i$ $\{-(\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_c^i), \varepsilon_b^{s+1} + \varepsilon_c^i : 1 \le c \le n_i, c \ne a\}$
$\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_b^j$ $1 \le i < j \le s$	$\{\varepsilon_c^i - \varepsilon_a^i : 1 \le c \le n_i, c \ne a\}$ $\{\varepsilon_c^j - \varepsilon_b^j : 1 \le c \le n_j, c \ne b\}$	$\{\varepsilon_c^k - \varepsilon_a^i, -(\varepsilon_c^k + \varepsilon_a^i), \varepsilon_c^k - \varepsilon_b^j, -(\varepsilon_c^k + \varepsilon_b^j)\}$ $1 \le k \le s+1; k \ne i, j$ $\{-(\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_c^i), \varepsilon_c^i - \varepsilon_b^j : 1 \le c \le n_i, c \ne a\}$ $\{-(\varepsilon_b^j + \varepsilon_d^j), \varepsilon_d^j - \varepsilon_a^i : 1 \le d \le n_j, d \ne b\}$
$\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_b^{s+1}$ $1 \le i \le s$	$\{\varepsilon_c^i - \varepsilon_a^i : 1 \le c \le n_i, c \ne a\}$ $\{-(\varepsilon_b^{s+1} + \varepsilon_d^{s+1}), \varepsilon_d^{s+1} - \varepsilon_b^{s+1}\}$ $1 \le d \le n_{s+1}, d \ne b$	$\{\varepsilon_c^k - \varepsilon_a^i, -(\varepsilon_c^k + \varepsilon_a^i), \varepsilon_c^k - \varepsilon_b^{s+1}, -(\varepsilon_c^k + \varepsilon_b^{s+1})\}$ $1 \le k \le s, k \ne i$ $\{-(\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_d^i), \varepsilon_d^i - \varepsilon_b^{s+1} : 1 \le d \le n_i, d \ne a\}$
$\varepsilon_a^i + \varepsilon_b^i$ $1 \le a < b \le n_i; 1 \le i \le s$	$\{\varepsilon_c^i - \varepsilon_a^i, \varepsilon_c^i - \varepsilon_b^i\}$ $1 \le c \le n_i; c \ne a, b$	$\{\varepsilon_c^k - \varepsilon_a^i, \varepsilon_c^k - \varepsilon_b^i, -(\varepsilon_c^k + \varepsilon_a^i), -(\varepsilon_c^k + \varepsilon_b^i)\}$ $1 \le k \le s+1; k \ne i$

Proposition 2.12. *The Einstein equation on $\mathbb{F}_D[n_1,\dots,n_{s+1}]$ reduces to the following algebraic system with s^2+s unknowns g_{ij}, f_ij, h_i, t_i and s^2+s equations.*

$$n_i+n_j+\frac{1}{4}\left\{\sum_{k\neq i,j}^{s+1}\frac{n_k}{f_{ik}f_{jk}}\left(g_{ij}^2-(f_{ik}-f_{jk})^2\right)+2\sum_{k\neq i,j}^{s+1}\frac{n_k}{g_{ik}g_{jk}}\left(g_{ij}^2-(g_{ik}-g_{jk})^2\right)\right.$$

$$\left.+\frac{n_j-1}{f_{ij}h_j}\left(g_{ij}^2-(f_{ij}-h_j)^2\right)+\frac{n_i-1}{f_{ij}h_i}\left(g_{ij}^2-(f_{ij}-h_i)^2\right)\right\}=g_{ij}$$

$$2n_{s+1}+n_i-1+\frac{1}{2}\left\{\sum_{k\neq i}^{s}\frac{n_k\left(t_i^2-(f_{ik}-t_k)^2\right)}{f_{ik}t_k}+\sum_{k\neq i}^{s}\frac{n_k\left(t_i^2-(g_{ik}-t_k)^2\right)}{g_{ik}t_k}\right.$$

$$\left.+\frac{n_i-1}{t_ih_i}\left(t_i^2-(t_i-h_i)^2\right)\right\}=t_i$$

$$n_i+n_j+\frac{1}{2}\left\{\sum_{k\neq i,j}^{s+1}\frac{n_k}{f_{jk}g_{ik}}\left(f_{ij}^2-(f_{jk}-g_{ik})^2\right)+\sum_{k\neq i,j}^{s+1}\frac{n_k}{f_{ik}g_{jk}}\left(f_{ij}^2-(f_{ik}-g_{jk})^2\right)\right.$$

$$\left.+\frac{n_i-1}{g_{ij}h_i}\left(f_{ij}^2-(g_{ij}-h_i)^2\right)+\frac{n_j-1}{g_{ij}h_j}\left(f_{ij}^2-(g_{ij}-h_j)^2\right)\right\}=f_{ij}$$

$$n_i+\sum_{k\neq i}^{s+1}\frac{n_k}{f_{ik}g_{ik}}\left(h_i^2-(f_{ik}-g_{ik})^2\right)=h_i$$

3 Number of isotropy summands

The description of several invariant tensor on a flag manifold depend on the number of isotropy summands. We computed this number above for some families of flag manifolds. The next result provides the amount of isotropy summands (or positive t-roots) for any classical flag manifold.

Theorem 3.1. *The number of isotropy summands of flag manifolds of classical Lie groups is determined by the following table.*

Table 1

Type		G/K	$\left\|\Pi_{\mathfrak{t}}^{+}\right\|$
A_n		$\mathbb{F}_A(n_1,\ldots,n_s)$	$s\,(s-1)\,/2$
B_n	(i)	$SO\,(2n+1)\,/U\,(n_1)\times\cdots\times U\,(n_s)\times U\,(1)^m$ $n\geq 2$	$(s+m)^2+s$
	(ii)	$SO\,(2n+1)\,/U\,(n_1)\times\cdots\times U\,(n_s)\times U\,(1)^m\times SO\,(2t+1)$ $t\geq 2$	$(s+m)^2+s$
C_n	(i)	$Sp(n)/U(n_1)\times\cdots\times U(n_s)\times U(1)^m$ $n\geq 3$	$(s+m)^2$
	(ii)	$Sp(n)/U(n_1)\times\cdots\times U(n_s)\times U(1)^m\times Sp(t)$ $t\geq 3$	$(s+m)^2+(s+m)$
D_n	(i)	$SO(2n)/U(n_1)\times\cdots\times U(n_s)\times U(1)^m$ $n\geq 4$	$(s+m)^2-m$
	(ii)	$SO(2n)/U(n_1)\times\cdots\times U(n_s)\times U(1)^m\times SO(2t)$ $t\geq 4$	$(s+m)^2+s$

where $\sum n_i+m=n$, $n_i>1$ *and* $m,s\geq 0$ *in the cases* $B_n\,(i)$, $C_n\,(i)$ *and* $D_n\,(i)$. *For* $B_n\,(ii)$, $C_n\,(ii)$ *and* $D_n\,(ii)$ *we have* $\sum n_i+t+m=n$, *with* $n_i>1$ *and* $m,s\geq 0$.

Proof. The calculus of $\left|\Pi_{\mathfrak{t}}^{+}\right|$ is analogous for all cases. Thus we present the computation only for $B_n\,(ii)$. In this case, we consider the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ given in (6) with root system (7). The root system of $\mathfrak{k}_{\Theta}^{\mathbb{C}}=\mathfrak{gl}\,(n_1,\mathbb{C})\times\cdots\times\mathfrak{gl}\,(n_s,\mathbb{C})\times\mathfrak{gl}\,(1,\mathbb{C})^m\times\mathfrak{so}\,(2t+1,\mathbb{C})$ with respect to $\mathfrak{h}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_{\Theta}=&\{\pm\left(\varepsilon_a^i-\varepsilon_b^i\right):1\leq i\leq s,1\leq a<b\leq n_i\}\\&\cup\{\pm\left(\varepsilon_a^{s+m+1}\pm\varepsilon_b^{s+m+1}\right),\pm\varepsilon_c^{s+m+1}:1\leq a<b\leq t,1\leq c\leq t\},\end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon_c^{s+m+1}=\varepsilon_{n_1+\cdots+n_s+m+c},1\leq c\leq t$. Then

$$\mathfrak{t}=\{\mathrm{diag}(\varepsilon_{n_1}^1,\ldots,\varepsilon_{n_1}^1,\ldots,\varepsilon_{n_s}^s,\ldots,\varepsilon_{n_s}^s,\varepsilon_{n-t-m+1},\varepsilon_{n-t-m+2},\ldots,\varepsilon_{n-t},0,\ldots,0)\in i\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}\}$$

where each $\varepsilon_{n_i}^i$ and 0 appears exactly n_i times and t times, respectively.

Considering the choice of positive (8) we see that the positive complementary roots is

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_M^{+}=&\{\varepsilon_a^i\pm\varepsilon_b^j:1\leq i<j\leq s\}\cup\{\varepsilon_c^i,\varepsilon_a^i+\varepsilon_b^i,\varepsilon_d^{s+1}:a<b,\quad 1\leq d\leq m\}\\&\cup\{\varepsilon_a^i\pm\varepsilon_d^{s+1},\varepsilon_a^i\pm\varepsilon_c^{s+m+1},\varepsilon_d^{s+1}\pm\varepsilon_c^{s+m+1}\}\cup\{\varepsilon_c^{s+1}\pm\varepsilon_d^{s+1}:c<d\}.\end{aligned}$$

So by restricting roots of Π_M^{+} to the subalgebra $\mathfrak{t}$, we obtain the positive t-roots set:

$$\Pi_{\mathfrak{t}}^{+}=\{\delta_i\pm\delta_j:1\leq i<j\leq s\}\cup\{\delta_i,2\delta_i,\delta_{n-t-m+k}:1\leq i\leq s,1\leq k\leq m\}$$

$$\cup\{\delta_i\pm\delta_{n-t-m+k},:1\leq i\leq s,1\leq k\leq m\}\cup\{\delta_{n-t-m+k}\pm\delta_{n-t-m+r}:1\leq k<r\leq m\}.$$

where δ_i denotes the restriction $k(\varepsilon_a^i)$. Note that $k(\varepsilon_a^i \pm \varepsilon_c^{s+m+1}) = \delta_i = k(\varepsilon_a^i)$ and $k(\varepsilon_d^{s+1} \pm \varepsilon_c^{s+m+1}) = \delta_{n-t-m+k} = k(\varepsilon_d^{s+1})$. So $\left|\Pi_{\mathfrak{t}}^+\right| = (s+m)^2 + s$.

Now, for the other cases, using the notation $\delta_i := k(\varepsilon_a^i)$, we can write

$$\Pi_{\mathfrak{t}}^+ = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \sigma(\delta_i - \delta_j), \alpha(\delta_i + \delta_j), \eta(\delta_{n-t-m+k} \pm \delta_{n-t-m+r}) : \\ 1 \leq i < j \leq s, 1 \leq r < k \leq m \end{array} \right\}$$
$$\bigcup \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \beta\delta_i, \gamma 2\delta_i, \mu\delta_{n-t-m+k}, \xi 2\delta_{n-t-m+k}, \psi(\delta_i + \delta_{n-t-m+k}), \\ \zeta(\delta_i - \delta_{n-t-m+k}) : 1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq k \leq m \end{array} \right\}$$

where $\sigma, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \mu, \xi, \psi, \zeta, \eta$ are 0 or 1.

In the case A_n, only $\sigma = 1$ and the remaining coefficients are zero.

By analyzing the case $B_n(i)$, when $s = 0$, (i.e. full flag), we see that only $\mu = \eta = 1$. Besides, if $m = 0$ then $\sigma = \alpha = \beta = \gamma = 1$. And when $s, m \neq 0$ then only $\xi = 0$.

In the case $B_n(ii)$, if $s = 0$ then only $\eta = \mu = 1$. If $m = 0$ then $\sigma = \alpha = \beta = \gamma = 1$.

For flags manifold of type $C_n(i)$, if $s = 0$ then $\xi, \eta = 1$. If $m = 0$ then $\sigma = \alpha = \gamma = 1$. When $s, m \neq 0$ then $\beta = \mu = 0$. Now for space of $C_n(ii)$, if $s = 0$ then $\eta = \mu = \xi = 1$. If $m = 0$ then $\sigma = \alpha = \beta = \gamma = 1$. When $s, m \neq 0$ then all coefficients are equal 1.

By studying the case $D_n(i)$, if $s = 0$ then only $\eta = 1$. When $m = 0$ we have $\sigma = \alpha = \gamma = 1$. If $s, m \neq 0$ then $\beta = \mu = \xi = 0$. Finally, for flags manifold of type $D_n(ii)$, if $s = 0$ then $\eta = \mu = 1$. When $m = 0$ then $\sigma = \alpha = \beta = \gamma = 1$. If $s, m \neq 0$ then only $\xi = 0$. This concludes the proof. □

References

[1] Alekseevsky, D. V., Perelomov A. M., 1986. Invariant Kähler-Einstein metrics on compact homogeneous spaces, Funct. Anal. Appl. 20,171-182.

[2] Arvanitoyeorgos, A., 1993. New invariant Eistein metrics on generalized flag manifolds, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 337, 981-995.

[3] Arvanitoyeorgos, A. and Chrysikos, I., 2010. Invariant Einstein metrics on flag manifolds with four isotropy summands. Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 37(4), 185-219.

[4] Arvanitoyeorgos, A., Chrysikos, I. and Sakane, Y., 2013. Proving isometry for homogeneous Eintein metrics on flag manifolds by symbolic computation. Journal of Symbolic Computation, 55, 59-71.

[5] Besse, A.L., 1987. Einstein Manifolds. Springer-Verlag.

[6] Cohen N., Pinzón S., 2008. An extension of the(1,2)-symplectic property for f-strutures on flag manifolds, Izvestiya:Mathematics 72:3 479-496.

[7] Da Silva, N. P., Grama, L. and Negreiros, C. J. C., 2011. Variational results on flag manifolds: harmonic maps, geodesics and Einstein metrics. Journal of Fixed Point Theory and its Applications, vol. 10, 307-325.

[8] Da Silva, N. P., 2009. Métricas de Einstein e estruturas Hermitianas invariantes em variedades bandeira, PhD Thesis, Universidade de Campinas.

[9] Kimura, M., 1990. Homogeneous Einstein metrics on certain Kähler C-spaces. Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 18-I, 303 - 320.

[10] Sakane, Y., 1999. Homogeneous Einstein Metrics on Flag Manifolds. Lobachevskii Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 4, 71-87.

[11] San Martin L. A. B., Negreiros C. J. C., 2003. Invariant almost Hermitian structures on flag manifolds, Advances in Mathematics, 178, 277-310.

[12] Siebenthal, J., 1964. Sur certains modules dans une algèbre de Lie semisimple. Comment. Math. Helv. 44(1) 1-44.

[13] Böhm, C., Wang, M. and Ziller, W., 2004. A variational approach for compact homogeneous Einstein manifolds. Geometric and Functional Analysis, Vol. 14, 681-733.